How can financial brands set themselves apart through visual storytelling? Our experts explain how.Learn MoreThe Motorsport Images Collections captures events from 1895 to today's most recent coverage.Discover The CollectionCurated, compelling, and worth your time. Explore our latest gallery of Editors' Picks.Browse Editors' FavoritesHow can financial brands set themselves apart through visual storytelling? Our experts explain how.Learn MoreThe Motorsport Images Collections captures events from 1895 to today's most recent coverage.Discover The CollectionCurated, compelling, and worth your time. Explore our latest gallery of Editors' Picks.Browse Editors' Favorites The law of conservation of matter says that mass can not be created or destroyed. Below we go into detail on this law, work through some example questions, and discuss the origins of the law of conservation of mass. The law of conservation of mass states that in a reaction matter can not be created or destroyed. That means that the mass of all reactants in a reaction will be equal to the mass of all the products. The mass may change forms in the reaction but the matter is neither created or destroyed. Another way to say this is that matter is conserved in a closed system. A closed system is where nothing (gas, water, other) can enter or leave. All products are contained within the system. Matter can not enter or leave the system. A closed system could be a well-sealed test tube, or it could be the entire earth. The law of conservation of mass is also referred to as the law of conservation of matter and the two names are often used interchangeably. Other names sometimes used for the law also include the law of matter conservation or the law of mass conservation. No, matter can not be created or destroyed. In a closed system, you will always start and end with the same amount of matter/mass. It may sometimes appear that mass disappears if a gas is produced and not measured. However, all products need to be taken into account. In a closed system, the mass of the reactants will always equal the mass of the products. All chemical reactions can demonstrate the law of conservation of matter. In some reactions, it will be easier to observe, however. For example, a good reaction is solid KI reacting with solid $Hg(NO_3)_2$ to form solid KNO_3 and solid HgI_2. KI (s) + $Hg(NO_3)_2$(s) –> KNO_3 (s) + HgI_2(s) The solids are easily massed and no gas produced that must be captured. The reaction takes place in water. HgI_2 precipitates out and removed with a filter. Next, the water can be evaporated off to get the KNO_3. Potassium Iodide (KI) Powder (Source: Wikipedia Commons) Added bonus, here is a cool experiment with potassium iodide (KI)! Example Question: You have the reaction below: AB + CD –> AD + CB You start with 4.03 grams of AB and 2.09 grams of CD. You measure 4.85 grams of CB. How many grams of AD do you have? Source: Wikipedia Commons Answer: There must be equal masses of product and reactant. We have 4.03 g + 2.09 g = 6.12 g of reactant. Therefore, CB + AD must equal 6.12g. 4.85 g + AD = 6.12g Antoine Laurent Lavoisier discovered the law of conservation of mass in 1789. Lavoisier lived from 1743-1794 in France and made many chemical discoveries. By performing combustion reactions in a closed container with careful measurements he discovered the law of mass conservation. In his scientific career, he generally focused on reactions with oxygen and other gasses, finding them particularly interesting. Fittingly, one of his other discoveries was the oxygen theory of combustion. Additionally, Marie Ann, Lavoisier's wife, was also influential in his scientific experiments and ability to disseminate them. A portrait of Lavoisier and his wife Marie Ann. (Source: Wikipedia Commons) Scientific law that a closed system's mass remains constant This article needs additional citations for verification. Please help improve this article by adding citations to reliable sources. Unsourced material may be challenged and removed.Find sources: "Conservation of mass" – news · newspapers · books · scholar · JSTOR (May 2020) (Learn how and when to remove this message) Combustion reaction of methane. Where 4 atoms of hydrogen, 4 atoms of oxygen, and 1 of carbon are present before and after the reaction. The total mass after the reaction is the same as before the reaction. Part of a series onContinuum mechanics J = − D d φ d x {\displaystyle J=-D{\frac {d\varphi }{dx}}} Fick's laws of diffusion Laws Conservations Mass Momentum Energy Inequalities Clausius–Duhem (entropy) Solid mechanics Deformation Elasticity linear Plasticity Hooke's law Stress Strain Finite strain Infinitesimal strain Compatibility Bending Contact mechanics frictional Material failure theory Fracture mechanics Fluid mechanics Fluids Statics · Dynamics Archimedes' principle · Bernoulli's principle Navier–Stokes equations Poiseuille equation · Pascal's law Viscosity (Newtonian · non-Newtonian) Buoyancy · Mixing · Pressure Liquids Adhesion Capillary action Chromatography Cohesion (chemistry) Surface tension Gases Atmosphere Boyle's law Charles's law Combined gas law Fick's law Gay-Lussac's law Graham's law Plasma Rheology Viscoelasticity Rheometry Rheometer Smart fluids Electrorheological Magnetorheological Ferrofluids Scientists Bernoulli Boyle Cauchy Charles Euler Fick Gay-Lussac Graham Hooke Newton Navier Noll Pascal Stokes Truesdell vte In physics and chemistry, the law of conservation of mass or principle of mass conservation states that for any system closed to all transfers of matter the mass of the system must remain constant over time.[1] The law implies that mass can neither be created nor destroyed, although it may be rearranged in space, or the entities associated with it may be changed in form. For example, in chemical reactions, the mass of the chemical components before the reaction is equal to the mass of the components after the reaction. Thus, during any chemical reaction and low-energy thermodynamic processes in an isolated system, the total mass of the reactants, or starting materials, must be equal to the mass of the products. The concept of mass conservation is widely used in many fields such as chemistry, mechanics, and fluid dynamics. Historically, mass conservation in chemical reactions was primarily demonstrated in the 17th century[2] and finally confirmed by Antoine Lavoisier in the late 18th century. The formulation of this law was of crucial importance in the progress from alchemy to the modern natural science of chemistry. In reality, the conservation of mass only holds approximately and is considered part of a series of assumptions in classical mechanics. The law has to be modified to comply with the laws of quantum mechanics and special relativity under the principle of mass–energy equivalence, which states that energy and mass form one conserved quantity. For very energetic systems the conservation of mass only is shown not to hold, as is the case in nuclear reactions and particle-antiparticle annihilation in particle physics. Mass is also not generally conserved in open systems. Such is the case when any energy or matter is allowed into, or out of, the system. However, unless radioactivity or nuclear reactions are involved, the amount of energy entering or escaping such systems (as heat, mechanical work, or electromagnetic radiation) is usually too small to be measured as a change in the mass of the system. For systems that include large gravitational fields, general relativity has to be taken into account; thus mass–energy conservation becomes a more complex concept, subject to different definitions, and neither mass nor energy is as strictly and simply conserved as is the case in special relativity. The law of conservation of mass can only be formulated in classical mechanics, in which the energy scales associated with an isolated system are much smaller than m c 2 {\displaystyle mc^{2}} , where m {\displaystyle m} is the mass of a typical object in the system, measured in the frame of reference where the object is at rest, and c {\displaystyle c} is the speed of light. The law can be formulated mathematically in the fields of fluid mechanics and continuum mechanics, where the conservation of mass is usually expressed using the continuity equation, given in differential form as ∂ ρ ∂ t + ∇ · (ρ v) = 0 , {\displaystyle {\frac {\partial \rho }{\partial t}}+abla \cdot (\rho \mathbf {v})=0,} where ρ {\textstyle \rho } is the density (mass per unit volume), t {\textstyle t} is the time, ∇ · {\textstyle abla \cdot } is the divergence, and v {\textstyle \mathbf {v} } is the flow velocity field. The interpretation of the continuity equation for mass is the following: For a given closed surface in the system, the change, over any time interval, of the mass enclosed by the surface is equal to the mass that traverses the surface during that time interval: positive if the matter goes in and negative if the matter goes out. For the whole isolated system, this condition implies that the total mass M {\textstyle M} , the sum of the masses of all components in the system, does not change over time, i.e. d M d t = d d t ∫ ρ d V = 0 , {\displaystyle {\frac {{\text{d}}M}{{\text{d}}t}}={\frac {\text{d}}{{\text{d}}t}}\int \rho \,{\text{d}}V=0,} where d V {\textstyle {\text{d}}V} is the differential that defines the integral over the whole volume of the system. The continuity equation for the mass is part of the Euler equations of fluid dynamics. Many other convection–diffusion equations describe the conservation and flow of mass and matter in a given system. In chemistry, the calculation of the amount of reactant and products in a chemical reaction, or stoichiometry, is founded on the principle of conservation of mass. The principle implies that during a chemical reaction the total mass of the reactants is equal to the total mass of the products. For example, in the following reaction $CH_4 + 2 O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + 2 H_2O$, where one molecule of methane (CH_4) and two oxygen molecules O_2 are converted into one molecule of carbon dioxide (CO_2) and two of water (H_2O). The number of molecules resulting from the reaction can be derived from the principle of conservation of mass, as initially four hydrogen atoms, 4 oxygen atoms and one carbon atom are present (as well as in the final state); thus the number water molecules produced must be exactly two per molecule of carbon dioxide produced. Many engineering problems are solved by following the mass distribution of a given system over time; this methodology is known as mass balance. Russian scientist Mikhail Lomonosov formulated the law of mass conservation in 1756 and came to the conclusion that the phlogiston theory is incorrect.[3][4][5] Antoine Lavoisier's discovery of the law of conservation of mass led to many new findings in the 19th century. Joseph Proust's law of definite proportions and John Dalton's atomic theory branched from the discoveries of Antoine Lavoisier. Lavoisier's quantitative experiments revealed that combustion involved oxygen rather than what was previously thought to be phlogiston. As early as 520 BCE, Jain philosophy, a non-creationist philosophy based on the teachings of Mahavira,[6] stated that the universe and its constituents such as matter cannot be destroyed or created. The Jain text Tattvarthasutra (2nd century CE) states that a substance is permanent, but its modes are characterised by creation and destruction.[7] An important idea in ancient Greek philosophy was that "Nothing comes from nothing", so that what exists now has always existed: no new matter can come into existence where there was none before. An explicit statement of this, along with the further principle that nothing can pass away into nothing, is found in Empedocles (c. 4th century BCE): "For it is impossible for anything to come to be from what is not, and it cannot be brought about or heard of that what is should be utterly destroyed."[8] A further principle of conservation was stated by Epicurus around the 3rd century BCE, who wrote in describing the nature of the Universe that "the totality of things was always such as it is now, and always will be".[9] By the 18th century the principle of conservation of mass during chemical reactions was widely used and was an important assumption during experiments, even before a definition was widely established,[10] though an expression of the law can be dated back to Hero of Alexandria's time,[11] as can be seen in the works of Joseph Black, Henry Cavendish, and Jean Rey.[12] One of the first to outline the principle was Mikhail Lomonosov in 1756. He may have demonstrated it by experiments and certainly had discussed the principle in 1748 in correspondence with Leonhard Euler,[13] though his claim on the subject is sometimes challenged.[14][15] According to the Soviet physicist Yakov Dorfman:The universal law was formulated by Lomonosov on the basis of general philosophical materialistic considerations, it was never questioned or tested by him, but on the contrary, served him as a solid starting position in all research throughout his life.[16] A more refined series of experiments were later carried out by Antoine Lavoisier who expressed his conclusion in 1773 and popularized the principle of conservation of mass.[17] The demonstrations of the principle disproved the then popular phlogiston theory that said that mass could be gained or lost in combustion and heat processes. The conservation of mass was obscure for millennia because of the buoyancy effect of the Earth's atmosphere on the weight of gases. For example, a piece of wood weighs less after burning;[17] this seemed to suggest that some of its mass disappears, or is transformed or lost. Careful experiments were performed in which chemical reactions such as rusting were allowed to take place in sealed glass ampoules; it was found that the chemical reaction did not change the weight of the sealed container and its contents. Weighing of gases using scales was not possible until the invention of the vacuum pump in the 17th century. Once understood, the conservation of mass was of great importance in progressing from alchemy to modern chemistry. Once early chemists realized that chemical substances never disappeared but were only transformed into other substances with the same weight, these scientists could for the first time embark on quantitative studies of the transformations of substances. The idea of mass conservation plus a surmise that certain "elemental substances" also could not be transformed into others by chemical reactions, in turn led to an understanding of chemical elements, as well as the idea that all chemical processes and transformations (such as burning and metabolic reactions) are reactions between invariant amounts or weights of these chemical elements. Following the pioneering work of Lavoisier, the exhaustive experiments of Jean Stas supported the consistency of this law in chemical reactions,[18] even though they were carried out with other intentions. His research[19][20] indicated that in certain reactions the loss or gain could not have been more than 2 to 4 parts in 100,000.[21] The difference in the accuracy aimed at and attained by Lavoisier on the one hand, and by Edward W. Morley and Stas on the other, is enormous.[22] Main articles: Mass–energy equivalence and Mass in general relativity The law of conservation of mass was challenged with the advent of special relativity. In one of the Annus Mirabilis papers of Albert Einstein in 1905, he suggested an equivalence between mass and energy. This theory implied several assertions, like the idea that internal energy of a system could contribute to the mass of the whole system, or that mass could be converted into electromagnetic radiation. However, as Max Planck pointed out, a change in mass as a result of extraction or addition of chemical energy, as predicted by Einstein's theory, is so small that it could not be measured with the available instruments and could not be presented as a test of special relativity. Einstein speculated that the energies associated with newly discovered radioactivity were significant enough, compared with the mass of systems producing them, to enable their change of mass to be measured, once the energy of the reaction had been removed from the system. This later indeed proved to be possible, although it was eventually to be the first artificial nuclear transmutation reaction in 1932, demonstrated by Cockcroft and Walton, that proved the first successful test of Einstein's theory regarding mass loss with energy gain. The law of conservation of mass and the analogous law of conservation of energy were finally generalized and unified into the principle of mass–energy equivalence, described by Albert Einstein's equation E = m c 2 {\displaystyle E=mc^{2}} . Special relativity also redefines the concept of mass and energy, which can be used interchangeably and are defined relative to the frame of reference. Several quantities had to be defined for consistency, such as the rest mass of a particle (mass in the rest frame of the particle) and the relativistic mass (in another frame). The latter term is usually less frequently used. In general relativity, conservation of both mass and energy is not globally conserved and its definition is more complicated. Charge conservation Conservation law Fick's laws of diffusion Law of definite proportions Law of multiple proportions

^ John Olmsted; Gregory M. Williams (1997). Chemistry: The Molecular Science (illustrated ed.). Jones & Bartlett Learning. p. 69. ISBN 978-0-8151-8450-8. Extract of page 69 ^ Lavoisier's Method ^ Volkenstein, Mikhail V. (2009). Entropy and Information (illustrated ed.). Springer Science & Business Media. p. 20. ISBN 978-3-0346-0078-1. Extract of page 20 ^ Okuň, Lev Borisovič (2009). Energy and Mass in Relativity Theory. World Scientific. p. 253. ISBN 978-981-281-412-8. Extract of page 253 ^ Lewis, David (2012). Early Russian Organic Chemists and Their Legacy (illustrated ed.). Springer Science & Business Media. p. 29. ISBN 978-3-642-28219-5. Extract of page 29 ^ Mahavira is dated 598 BC - 526 BC. See: Dundas, Paul (2002). The Jains. book series, Library of Religious Beliefs and Practices, edited by John R. Hinnells & Ninian Smart. London: Routledge. ISBN 978-0-415-26606-2. p. 24 ^ Devendra (Muni.), T. G. Kalghatgi, T. S. Devadoss (1983) A source-book in Jaina philosophy Udaipur:Sri Tarak Guru Jain Gran. p.57. Also see Tattvarthasutra verses 5.29 and 5.37 ^ Fr. 12; see pp.291–2 of Kirk, G. S.; J. E. Raven; Malcolm Schofield (1983). The Presocratic Philosophers (2 ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978-0-521-27455-5. ^ Long, A. A.; D. N. Sedley (1987). "Epicureanism: The principals of conservation". The Hellenistic Philosophers. Vol 1: Translations of the principal sources with philosophical commentary. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. 25–26. ISBN 978-0-521-27556-9. ^ Whitaker, Robert D. (1975-10-01). "An historical note on the conservation of mass". Journal of Chemical Education. 52 (10): 658. Bibcode:1975JChEd..52..658W. doi:10.1021/ed052p658. ISSN 0021-9584. ^ Tanner, R. I.; Walters, K. (1998). Rheology: An Historical Perspective. Elsevier. ISBN 9780444829467. ^ Robert D. Whitaker, "An Historical Note on the Conservation of Mass", Journal of Chemical Education, 52, 10, 658-659, Oct 75 ^ Pismen, Len (2018). The Swings of Science: From Complexity to Simplicity and Back. Springer. p. 41. ISBN 978-3-319-99777-3. ^ Pomper, Philip (October 1962). "Lomonosov and the Discovery of the Law of the Conservation of Matter in Chemical Transformations". Ambix. 10 (3): 119–127. doi:10.1179/amb.1962.10.3.119. ^ Lomonosov, Mikhail Vasil'evich (1970). Mikhail Vasil'evich Lomonosov on the Corpuscular Theory. Henry M. Leicester (trans.). Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press. Introduction, p. 25. ^ Дорфман, Яков (1961). Закон сохранения массы при химических реакциях и физические воззрения Ломоносова // Ломоносов М.В. Сборник статей и материалов, Т.5. М.-Л.: Издательство АН СССР. p. 193. ^ a b Agnew, Henry; Alviar-Agnew, Marisa (7 January 2020). "3.7 Conservation of Mass - There is No New Matter". LibreTexts™ Chemistry. Retrieved 10 January 2024. ^ Matthew Moncrieff Pattison Muir, The Elements of Chemistry (1904) ^ Nouv. Recherches sur les lois des proportions chimiques (1865) 152, 171, 189 ^ "Conservation of Mass in Chemical Changes"Journal - Chemical Society, London, Vol.64, Part 2 Chemical Society (Great Britain) ^ William Edwards Henderson, A Course in General Chemistry (1921) ^ Ida Freund, The study of Chemical Composition: an account of its method and historical development, with illustrative quotations (1904) Retrieved from " The document discusses how StudyHub.vip can help students with homework, especially assignments related to the concept of conservation of mass in chemistry. It explains that conservation of … One of the great defining principles of physics is that many of its most important properties unwaveringly obey an important principle: Under easily specified conditions, they are conserved, meaning that the total amount of these quantities contained within the system you've chosen never changes. Four common quantities in physics are characterized by having laws of conservation that apply to them. These are energy, momentum, angular momentum and mass. The first three of these are quantities often specific to mechanics problems, but mass is universal, and the discovery – or demonstration, as it were – that mass is conserved, while confirming some long-held suspicions in the science world, was vital to prove. The law of conservation of mass states that, in a closed system (including the whole universe), mass can neither be created nor destroyed by chemical or physical changes. In other words, total mass is always conserved. The cheeky maxim "What goes in, must come out!" appears to be a literal scientific truism, as nothing has ever been shown to simply vanish with no physical trace. All of the components of all of the molecules in every skin cell you've ever shed, with their oxygen, hydrogen, nitrogen, sulfur and carbon atoms, still exist. Just as the mystery science fiction show The X-Files declares about the truth, all mass that ever was "is out there somewhere." It could be called instead "the law of conservation of matter" because, absent gravity, there is nothing special in the world about especially "massive" objects; more on this important distinction follows, as its relevance is difficult to overstate. The discovery of the law of conservation of mass was made in 1789 by the French scientist Antoine Lavoisier; others had come up with the idea before, but Lavoisier was first to prove it. At the time, much of the prevailing belief in chemistry about atomic theory still came from the ancient Greeks, and thanks to more recent ideas, it was thought that something within fire ("phlogiston") was actually a substance. This, scientists reasoned, explained why a pile of ashes is lighter than whatever was burned to produce the ashes. Lavoisier heated mercuric oxide and noted that the amount the chemical's weight decreased was equal to the weight of the oxygen gas released in the chemical reaction. Before chemists could account for the masses of things that were difficult to track, such as water vapor and trace gases, they could not adequately test any matter conservation principles even if they suspected such laws were indeed in operation. In any case, this led Lavoisier to state that matter must be conserved in chemical reactions, meaning the total amount of matter on each side of a chemical equation is the same. This means the total number of atoms (but not necessarily the total number of molecules) in the reactants must equal the amount in the products, regardless of the nature of the chemical change. "The mass of the products in chemical equations is equal to the mass of the reactants" is the basis of stoichiometry, or the accounting process by which chemical reactions and equations are mathematically balanced in terms of both mass and number of atoms on each side. One difficulty people can have with the law of conservation of mass is that the limits of your senses make some aspects of the law less intuitive. For example, when you eat a pound of food and drink a pound of fluid, you might weigh the same six or so hours later even if you don't go to the bathroom. This is in part because carbon compounds in food are converted to carbon dioxide (CO_2) and exhaled gradually in the (usually invisible) vapor in your breath. At its core, as a chemistry concept, the law of conservation of mass is integral to understanding physical science, including physics. For instance, in a momentum problem about collision, we can assume the total mass in the system has not changed from what it was before the collision to something different after the collision because mass – like momentum and energy – is conserved. The law of conservation of energy states that total energy of an isolated system never changes, and that can be expressed in a number of ways. One of these is KE (kinetic energy) + PE (potential energy) + internal energy (IE) = a constant. This law follows from the first law of thermodynamics and assures that energy, like mass, cannot be created or destroyed. The sum of KE and PE is called mechanical energy, and is constant in systems in which only conservative forces act (that is, when no energy is "wasted" in the form of frictional or heat losses). Momentum (mv) and angular momentum (L = mvr) are also conserved in physics, and the relevant laws strongly determine much of the behavior of particles in classical analytical mechanics. The heating of calcium carbonate, or $CaCO_3$, produces a calcium compound while liberating a mysterious gas. Let's say you have 1 kg (1,000 g) of $CaCO_3$, and you discover that when this is heated, 560 grams of the calcium compound remain. What is the likely composition of the remaining calcium chemical substance, and what is the compound that was liberated as gas? First, since this is essentially a chemistry problem, you'll need to refer to a periodic table of elements (see Resources for an example). You are told that you have that initial 1,000 g of $CaCO_3$. From the molecular masses of the constituent atoms in the table, you see that Ca = 40 g/mol, C = 12 g/mol, and O = 16 g/mol, making the molecular mass of calcium carbonate as a whole 100 g/mol (remember there are three oxygen atoms in $CaCO_3$). However, you have 1,000 g of $CaCO_3$, which is 10 moles of the substance. In this example, the calcium product has 10 moles of Ca atoms; because each Ca atom is 40 g/mol, you have 400 g total of Ca that you can safely assume was left after the $CaCO_3$ was heated. For this example, the remaining 160 g (560 – 400) of post-heating compound represents 10 moles of oxygen atoms. This must leave 440 g of mass as a liberated gas. The balanced equation must have the form \(10\text{CaCO}_3\implies10\text{CaO } + \text{ ?}\) and the "?" gas must contain carbon and oxygen in some combination; it must have 20 moles of oxygen atoms – you already have 10 moles of oxygen atoms to the left of the + sign – and therefore 10 moles of carbon atoms. The "?" is CO_2. (In today's science world, you have heard of carbon dioxide, making this problem something of a trivial exercise. But think to a time when even scientists didn't even know what was in "air.") Physics students might be confused by the famous conservation of mass-energy equation $E = mc^2$ postulated by Albert Einstein in the early 1900s, wondering if it defies the law of conservation of mass (or energy), since it seems to imply mass can be converted to energy and vice versa. Neither law is violated; instead, the law affirms that mass and energy are actually different forms of the same thing. It is kind of like measuring them in different units given the situation. You perhaps cannot help but unconsciously equate mass with weight for the reasons described above – mass is only weight when gravity is in the mix, but when in your experience is gravity not present (when you're on Earth and not in a zero-gravity chamber)? It is hard, then, to conceive of matter as just stuff, like energy in its own right, that obeys certain fundamental laws and principles. Also, just as energy can change forms between kinetic, potential, electrical, thermal and other types, matter does the same thing, though the different forms of matter are called states: solid, gas, liquid and plasma. If you can filter how your own senses perceive the differences in these quantities, you might be able to appreciate that there are few actual differences in the physics. Being able to tie major concepts together in the "hard sciences" may seem arduous at first, but it is always exciting and rewarding in the end. Beck, Kevin. "Law Of Conservation Of Mass: Definition, Formula, History (W/ Examples)" sciencing.com, . 21 December 2020. APA Beck, Kevin. (2020, December 21). Law Of Conservation Of Mass: Definition, Formula, History (W/ Examples). sciencing.com. Retrieved from Chicago Beck, Kevin. Law Of Conservation Of Mass: Definition, Formula, History (W/ Examples) last modified August 30, 2022. These worksheets are meant to test a student's knowledge of the law of conservation of mass and its applicability. They should know how the law works with regard to physical changes and chemical reactions. Suitable for: Grade 6, Grade 7, Grade 8, Grade 9, Grade 10, Grade 11, Grade 12. This document provides a worksheet on the Law of Conservation of Mass. It asks students to define open and closed systems, explain situations where reactants or products could weigh more/les…