

**Click Here**























## Liste des additifs alimentaires dangereux pdf

The Motorsport Images Collections captures events from 1895 to today's most recent coverage. Discover The CollectionCurated, compelling, and worth your time. Explore our latest gallery of Editors' Picks. Browse Editors' FavoritesExperience AI-Powered CreativityThe Motorsport Images Collections captures events from 1895 to today's most recent coverage. Discover The CollectionCurated, compelling, and worth your time. Explore our latest gallery of Editors' Picks. Browse Editors' FavoritesExperience AI-Powered CreativityThe Motorsport Images Collections captures events from 1895 to today's most recent coverage. Discover The CollectionCurated, compelling, and worth your time. Explore our latest gallery of Editors' Picks. Browse Editors' FavoritesExperience AI-Powered CreativityShare — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format for any purpose, even commercially. Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially. The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms. Attribution — You must give appropriate credit , provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made . You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use. ShareAlike — If you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you must distribute your contributions under the same license as the original. No additional restrictions — You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits. You do not have to comply with the license for elements of the material in the public domain or where your use is permitted by an applicable exception or limitation . No warranties are given. The license may not give you all of the permissions necessary for your intended use. For example, other rights such as publicity, privacy, or moral rights may limit how you use the material. Substances added to food The different forms of emulsifier lecithin - powder, two different concentration liquids, granular and powder lecithin Food additives are substances added to food to preserve flavor or enhance taste, appearance, or other sensory qualities. Some additives, such as vinegar (pickling), salt (salting), smoke (smoking) and sugar (crystallization), have been used for centuries to preserve food. This allows for longer-lasting foods, such as bacon, sweets, and wines. With the advent of ultra-processed foods in the late 20th century, many additives having both natural and artificial origin were introduced. Food additives also include substances that may be introduced to food indirectly (called "indirect additives") in the manufacturing process through packaging, storage or transport.<sup>[1]</sup> In Europe and internationally, many additives are designated with E numbers, while in the United States, additives in amounts deemed safe for human consumption are designated as GRAS. To regulate these additives and inform consumers each additive is assigned a unique number called an "E number", which is used in Europe for all approved additives. This numbering scheme has been adopted and extended by the Codex Alimentarius Commission as the International Numbering System for Food Additives (INS) to internationally identify all additives (INS number).<sup>[2]</sup> E numbers are all prefixed by "E", but countries outside Europe use only the number, although it is approved for use in Australia and New Zealand. Since 1987, Australia has had an approved system of labelling for additives in packaged foods. Each food additive has to be named or numbered. The numbers are the same as in Europe, but without the prefix "E".<sup>[citation needed]</sup> The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) lists these items as GRAS;<sup>[3]</sup> they are listed under both their Chemical Abstracts Service number and acid taste. Common acidulants include vinegar, citric acid, tartaric acid, malic acid, fumaric acid, and lactic acid. Acidity regulators are used for controlling the pH of foods for stability or to affect activity of enzymes. Anticaking agents keep powders such as milk powder from caking or sticking. Antifoaming agents reduce or prevent foaming in foods. Foaming agents do the reverse. Antioxidants such as vitamin C are preservatives by inhibiting the degradation of food by oxygen. Bulking agents such as starch are additives that increase the bulk of a food without affecting its taste. Colorings are added to food to replace colors lost during preparation or to make food look more attractive. Fortifying agents: Vitamins and minerals may be added to increase the nutritional value. In contrast to colorings, color retention agents are used to preserve a food's existing color. Emulsifiers allow water and oils to remain mixed together in an emulsion, as in mayonnaise, ice cream, and homogenized milk. Flavorings are additives that give food a particular taste or smell, and may be derived from natural ingredients or created artificially. In Europe, flavorings do not have an E-code and they are not considered as food additives. Flavor enhancers enhance a food's existing flavors. A popular example is monosodium glutamate. Some flavor enhancers have their own flavors that are independent of the food. Flour treatment agents are added to flour to improve its color or its use in baking. Glazing agents provide a shiny appearance or protective coating to foods. Humectants prevent foods from drying out. Tracer gas allows for package integrity testing to prevent foods from being exposed to atmosphere, thus guaranteeing shelf life. Preservatives prevent or inhibit spoilage of food due to fungi, bacteria and other microorganisms. Stabilizers, thickeners, and gelling agents, like agar or pectin (used in jam for example) give foods a firmer texture. While they are not true emulsifiers, they help to stabilize emulsions. Sweeteners are added to foods for flavoring. Sweeteners other than sugar are added to keep the food energy (calories) low. Thickeners are substances which, when added to the mixture, increase its viscosity without substantially modifying its other properties. Bisphenols, phthalates, and perfluoroalkyl chemicals (PFCs) are indirect additives used in manufacturing or packaging. In July 2018 the American Academy of Pediatrics called for more careful study of those three substances, along with nitrates and food coloring, as they might harm children during development.<sup>[9]</sup> With the increasing use of processed foods since the 19th century, food additives are more widely used. Many countries regulate their use. For example, borac acid was widely used as a food preservative from the 1870s to the 1920s,<sup>[10][11]</sup> but was banned after World War I due to its toxicity, as demonstrated in animal and human studies. During World War II, the urgent need for cheap, available food preservatives led to borac acid being used again, but it was finally banned in the 1950s.<sup>[10]</sup> Such cases led to a general mistrust of food additives, and an application of the precautionary principle led to the conclusion that only additives that are known to be safe should be used in foods. In the United States, this induced adoption of the Delaney clause, an amendment to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act of 1938, stating that no carcinogenic substances may be used as food additives.<sup>[12]</sup> However, after the banning of saccharin in the United States and Britain in 1969, saccharin, the only remaining legal artificial sweetener at the time, was found to cause cancer in rats.<sup>[13]</sup> Widespread public outcry in the United States, partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, led to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partially communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft drinks, leading to the retention of saccharin, despite its violation of the Delaney clause.<sup>[14]</sup> However, in 2000, saccharin was found to be carcinogenic in rats due only to their urine's urinary tract.<sup>[15][16]</sup> In 2007, Food Standards Australia New Zealand partly communicated to Congress by postage-paid postcards supplied in the packaging of sweetened soft